

Electric Vehicle Routing: Subpath-Based Decomposition

Sean Lo <u>seanlo@mit.edu</u> MIT Operations Research Center, Cambridge, MA Alexandre Jacquillat <u>alexjacq@mit.edu</u> MIT Sloan School of Management, Cambridge, MA

INFORMS Optimization Society Conference 2024 22 March 2024



Background and motivation

Biden administration plan seeks elimination of transportation emissions

alls for a transition to electric vehicles and more walkable neighborhoods by 2050

A 40-ton Mercedes-Benz e-truck just drove 1,000 km with only one stop to charge

Michelle Lewis Oct 5 2023 - 10:48 am PT 🧔 66 Comments	DGISTICS REPORT
	California's Electric-Truck Drive Draws
	Startups Building Charging Networks
Biden administration plan calls for \$5 billion network of electric-vehicle	aggressive emissions-slashing mandate means thousands of arging sites are needed in the coming years
chargers along interstates	Paul Berger (Follow)
Grants included in the infrastructure law will help states build a charging network designed to reach highways in almost every corner of the country	y 29, 2023 7:00 am ET
By <u>Ian Duncan</u> Updated February 10, 2022 at 1:46 p.m. EST Published February 10, 2022 at 5:00 a.m. EST	

New routing algorithms for electrified logistics

Lo, Jacquillat - Electric Vehicle Routing

Contributions

Electric vehicle routing: subpath-based column generation algorithm



Lo, Jacquillat - Electric Vehicle Routing

Electric Vehicle Routing Problem



Semi-infinite set-partitioning model

 $\min \quad \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} c^p z^p$ (minimize total cost of paths) s.t. $\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \mathbb{1} \left(n_{\text{start}}^p = j \right) z^p = v_j^{\text{start}} \quad \forall \text{ depots } j$ (each depot j starts with v_i^{start} vehicles) $\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \mathbb{1} \left(n_{\text{end}}^p = j \right) z^p \ge v_j^{\text{end}} \quad \forall \text{ depots } j \quad (\text{each depot } j \text{ ends with at least } v_j^{\text{end}} \text{ vehicles})$ $\sum_{p\in\mathcal{P}}\gamma_i^p z^p = 1$

 $z^p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ $\forall p \in \mathcal{P}$

 \forall customers j (each customer served once)

- Set-partitioning formulation with path-based variables z^p
- Infinitely many variables •
 - **Discrete** routing and timing decisions (as in traditional VRP)
 - **Continuous** charging decisions (new to E-VRP)

Column generation



Lo, Jacquillat – Electric Vehicle Routing

Algorithmic challenges

- 1. How to solve the pricing problem efficiently?
 - NP-hard Elementary Resource-
 - Constrained Shortest Path structure

$$\min_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \left\{ \bar{c}^p := c^p - \kappa_{\operatorname{start}(p)} - \mu_{\operatorname{end}(p)} - \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}_C} \gamma_i^p \nu_i \right\}$$

- 2. How to ensure finite convergence in column generation?
 - Infinitely many path-based variables:

$$\min \quad \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} c^p z^p$$

- 3. How to impose path elementarity?
 - Trade-off: relaxation strength vs. high-dimensional domination labels
- 4. How to eliminate fractional solutions?
 - Embedding limited-memory subset row inequalities

 $z^p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$

Pricing problem in CG



- Finding paths of negative reduced cost via DP
 - Resource-Constrained Shortest Path Problem (RCSPP)^[1]

Extend partial paths along edges

Challenges:

- 1. Grows exponentially with no. of customers
- 2. How to determine charging time?

Irnich, S., & Desaulniers, G. (2005). Shortest Path Problems with Resource Constraints. In G. Desaulniers, J. Desrosiers, & M. M. Solomon (Eds.), *Column Generation* (pp. 33–65). Springer US. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/0-387-25486-2_2</u>
 Desaulniers, G., Errico, F., Irnich, S., & Schneider, M. (2016). Exact Algorithms for Electric Vehicle-Routing Problems with Time Windows. Operations Research, 64(6), 1388–1405. <u>https://doi.org/10.1287/opre.2016.1535</u>

Prune "dominated" paths using domination criteria

$$D(p) = \left(\bar{c}(p), t(p), -b(p)\right)$$

Extra labels^[2]

reduced time (negative of) cost charge

7

Key idea: two-level label-setting

Level 1: Generate subpaths s

• Label-setting, with domination criteria:

 $D(s) = \left(\bar{c}(s), \ t(s), \ b(s)\right)$



Level 2: Extend paths *p* along subpaths *s*

- A subpath valid at time 0 is still valid at time t with the same reduced cost
- WLOG, the charging decision between subpaths is the minimum possible
- Reduced cost of path =
 r.c. of subpaths + cost of charging

A closer look at domination



Rigorous and generalizable framework for domination criteria

[1] Irnich, S., & Desaulniers, G. (2005). Shortest Path Problems with Resource Constraints. In G. Desaulniers, J. Desrosiers, & 9
 M. M. Solomon (Eds.), *Column Generation* (pp. 33–65). Springer US. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/0-387-25486-2_2</u>

Key results

Theorem 1: Two-level label-setting finds negative reduced-cost paths, or certifies that none exists

Proof (sketch):

• Use properties



arising from domination criteria

Theorem 2: With two-level label-setting, CG converges **finitely** to LP optimum of EVRP

Proof (sketch):

- Infinitely many paths, but finitely many subpath sequences
- Once a path is added to RMP, no other path with the same subpath sequence will be added in future iterations

Comparison to benchmark

- Significant speedups against path-based benchmark
- Stronger improvement with:
 - Higher customer density
 ≈ longer subpaths
 - Longer time horizon
 ≈ more subpaths per path

% time reduction vs. path-based benchmark



Algorithmic challenges

- 1. How to solve the pricing problem efficiently?
 - NP-hard Elementary Resource-Constrained Shortest Path structure

$$\min_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \left\{ \bar{c}^p := c^p - \kappa_{\operatorname{start}(p)} - \mu_{\operatorname{end}(p)} - \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}_C} \gamma_i^p \nu_i \right\}$$

- 2. How to ensure finite convergence in column generation?
 - Infinitely many path-based variables:

$$\min \quad \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} c^p z^p$$

- 3. How to impose path elementarity?
 - Trade-off: relaxation strength vs. high-dimensional domination labels
- 4. How to eliminate fractional solutions?
 - Embedding limited-memory subset row inequalities

The elementarity constraint

- Ideally, each path serves each customer at most once! (elementarity)
- Affects the structure of the label-setting in the pricing problem:

Option 1:

Ignore elementarity

Option 2:

Enforce elementarity

One binary resource per customer^[1]:

$$D(p) = \left(\bar{c}(p), t(p), -b(p), \gamma_1^p, \dots, \gamma_n^p\right)$$

Expensive: NP-hard^[2]
 Better IP solutions

- Computationally cheap!
- Good LP solutions, but bad IP solutions

Beasley, J. E., & Christofides, N. (1989). An algorithm for the resource constrained shortest path problem. *Networks*, 19(4), 379–394. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/net.3230190402</u>
 Dror, M. (1994). Note on the Complexity of the Shortest Path Models for Column Generation in VRPTW. *Operations*

Research, 42(5), 977–978. https://doi.org/10.1287/opre.42.5.977



ng-route relaxation^[1]:

Nested *ng*-route relaxations Interpolates between Start with a loose ng-relaxation, no and full elementarity tighten when necessary^[2]! Extending partial path updates ng-set Column generation Non-Stop elementary No \bigcirc paths? Yes Grow ng-route neighborhoods, Remove invalid routes Customer *i* has neighborhood N_i **Prop:** This yields the solution \bigcirc to the LP relaxation of EVRP with elementary routes

[1] Baldacci, R., Mingozzi, A., & Roberti, R. (2011). New Route Relaxation and Pricing Strategies for the Vehicle Routing Problem. Operations Research, 59(5), 1269–1283.

[2] Martinelli, R., Pecin, D., & Poggi, M. (2014). Efficient elementary and restricted non-elementary route pricing. European Journal of Operational Research, 239(1), 102–111. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2014.05.005

ng-routes in two-level label-setting

Traditionally:

• Forward *ng*-sets in label-setting algorithms [1]

 $\Pi(P) = \left\{ i_r \colon i_r \in \bigcap_{s=r+1}^k N_{i_s}, \ r = 1, \dots, k-1 \right\} \bigcup \{i_k\}.$

• **Backward** *ng*-sets in bidirectional label-setting algorithms [1]

$$\Pi^{-1}(\bar{P}) = \{i_k\} \cup \left\{i_r: i_r \in \bigcap_{s=k}^{r-1} N_{i_s}, r = k+1, \dots, h\right\}.$$

Our work:

- Path domination criteria include forward ng-set inclusions:
 D(p) = (c̄(p), t(p), -b(p), {1 (i ∈ Π(p))}_i)
- Subpath domination criteria include **both** forward and backward *ng*-sets:

$$D(s) = \left(\bar{c}(s), \ t(s), \ b(s), \\ \{\mathbbm{1} \ (i \in \Pi(p))\}_i, \{\mathbbm{1} \ (i \in \Pi^{-1}(p))\}_i \right)$$



[1] Baldacci, R., Mingozzi, A., & Roberti, R. (2011). New Route Relaxation and Pricing Strategies for the Vehicle Routing Problem. *Operations Research*, 59(5), 1269–1283.

Benefits of adaptive ng-relaxations



Lo, Jacquillat – Electric Vehicle Routing

Computational results

- Weak relaxation of baseline column generation algorithm
- Strong benefits from ng-relaxations and cutting planes
- Scales to realistic problem instances, with dozens of nodes



Optimality gap

Computational times



Lo, Jacquillat – Electric Vehicle Routing

The benefits of optimization

- Business-as-usual solution:
 - Solve a VRP w/o charge
 - Then optimize charging stations with fixed routes
- Significant improvements by jointly optimizing charging and routing decisions

% cost reduction vs. business as usual



Benefits from large-scale optimization algorithms to support emerging vehicle technologies and operating models toward sustainable logistics

Conclusion

Electric vehicle routing: subpath-based column generation algorithm

Modeling	Semi-infinite formulation for electric vehicle routing: discrete routing decisions, continuous charging decisions
Optimization	 Subpath-based decomposition: two-level label-setting pricing algorithm for column generation subproblem Forward and backward domination criteria enabling tighter relaxations: <i>ng</i>-relaxations & cutting planes Guarantees of exactness and finite convergence
Computational results	Significantly outperforms path-based benchmark, and scales to realistic problem instances
Practical impact	Benefits of integrated routing and charging optimization

Lo, Jacquillat – Electric Vehicle Routing

Additional slides

Lo, Jacquillat – Electric Vehicle Routing

Subset-row cuts

- Consider a cut defined by a subset S of customers:
 - At most [n/k] routes visiting at least k out of n customers^[1]
 (Chvatal-Gomory cut of rank 1)

$$\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \sum_{i \in S} \gamma_i^p z^p = |S| \Longrightarrow \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \left\lfloor \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i \in S} \gamma_i^p \right\rfloor z^p \le \left\lfloor \frac{|S|}{k} \right\rfloor$$

- *Non-robust* cuts which change subproblem structure (new duals)
 - Track resource $\sum_{i \in S} \gamma_i^p \pmod{k}$ for each subset *S*
 - When resource hits 0, subtract dual from reduced cost
 - Track $\sum_{i \in S} \gamma_i^S \pmod{k}$ and $\sum_{i \in S} \gamma_i^p \pmod{k}$ $D(s) = \left(\dots, \{\sum_{i \in S} \gamma_i^s\}_S\right)$ for subpaths and paths respectively: $D(p) = \left(\dots, \{\sum_{i \in S} \gamma_i^p\}_S\right)$

21

Limited-memory subset-row cuts

- Limited-memory subset-row cuts^[1] include a *memory neighborhood* for each cut
 - Smaller state space
 - Weaker cuts
- Requires tracking forward and backward criteria



Fig. 2 Example illustrating the performance gain in the pricing when using lm-SRCs

Comparison with literature

[1]:

Setting

- Continuous time and charge
 - Single / multiple recharges, partial / full recharging
 - Time windows
 - No charging costs

Our work:

- Continuous time and charge
- Multiple recharges, partial recharging
- No time windows
- Linear constant / heterogenous charging costs

 (charging τ at i costs δ_i · τ)

[1] Desaulniers, G., Errico, F., Irnich, S., & Schneider, M. (2016). Exact Algorithms for Electric Vehicle-Routing Problems with Time Windows. Operations Research, 64(6), 1388–1405. https://doi.org/10.1287/opre.2016.1535

Comparison with literature

[1]:

- Methods Bidirectional label-setting, with bidirectional criteria
 - *ng*-route relaxation
 - 2-path cuts and subset-row cuts
 - Branching

Our work:

- Unidirectional, two-level label-setting, with bidirectional criteria
- Adaptive tightening of *ng*-route relaxations
- SRC and lm-SRC
- No branching